

Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No. 10/537,575	Applicant(s) LUDIKHUIZE ET AL.		
	Examiner Shouxiang Hu	<table border="1" style="width: 100%; border-collapse: collapse;"> <tr> <td style="width: 50%; vertical-align: top;"> Art Unit 2811 </td> <td style="width: 50%;"></td> </tr> </table>	Art Unit 2811	
Art Unit 2811				

All Participants:

(1) Shouxiang Hu.

(2) Robert Pechman.

Date of Interview: 11 September 2008

Type of Interview:

☒ Telephonic

☐ Video Conference

☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☒ No

If Yes, provide a brief description:

Status of Application: _____

(3) Robert Crawford.

(4) _____

Time: _____

Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:

All claim rejections set forth in the previous office action.

Claims discussed:

1-12 and 21-27

Prior art documents discussed:

All references cited in the previous office actions.

Part II.

SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:

Basic subject matters of the instant invention, especially that of the recited SF and its interconnection with the recited CF, were discussed. And, an agreement was reached, which resulted in the examiner's amendment.

Part III.

☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.

☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

/Shouxiang Hu/
Primary Examiner, Art Unit 2811

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)